



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6472
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL PUBLIC CAPITAL EXPENDITURE AND AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA 1961 - 2010
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper concludes that the study recommends that the proportion of government capital expenditure that goes into agricultural expenditure financing should be	This has been done. The conclusion has been revised and granger causality test done to support the conclusion.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	increased. Since this exerts significant positive effects on agricultural economic growth. However, this conclusion is drastic because just the co-integration does not mean an causality between cointegrated variables. (Remind Lucas critique on policy engagement effect). So I recommend to revise the conclusion.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		